How Modern Displays Push Conventional Colorimetry to its Limit

Abhijit Sarkar[1,2], Laurent Blondé[1], Patrick Le Callet[2], Florent Autrusseau[2], Jürgen Stauder[1], Patrick Morvan[1]

[1]Technicolor Research, Rennes, France, [2]IRCCyN-IVC, Polytech' Nantes, University of Nantes, France

ABSTRACT: Various recent studies have shown that variations in observers' color vision characteristics can be a significant issue in modern display colorimetry, since peaky color primaries (commonly red, green and blue) are often used to achieve more vivid and saturated colors. This paper presents an overview of this problem in the context of media and entertainment industry applications. The significance of the effect of observer variability is demonstrated through recent experimental results.

1. INTRODUCTION: Color is defined as the perception that depends on the response of the human visual system to light and the interaction of light with objects. Thus, the perception of color requires three components, a light source, an object and an observer. The most commonly used mathematical way of describing color is through the CIE tristimulus values, X, Y and Z. To compute these values, contributions of relative spectral power of a CIE standard light source (S_λ), the reflectance factor of the object (R_λ), and the spectral sensitivities of color sensors (called color matching functions) of an average, standard observer ($\bar{x}_\lambda$, $\bar{y}_\lambda$ and $\bar{z}_\lambda$, read as x-lambda-bar, y-lambda-bar etc) are integrated over a range of wavelengths (λ). Mathematically, the integration is done by multiplication followed by summation, as shown in Eq. (1) The result is then normalized by a factor k, so as to assign the luminance (given by Y) of white an arbitrary value of 100. More details on the fundamentals of colorimetry are available in [1].

$$X = k\sum_{\lambda} S_\lambda R_\lambda \bar{x}_\lambda \Delta\lambda; \quad Y = k\sum_{\lambda} S_\lambda R_\lambda \bar{y}_\lambda \Delta\lambda; \quad Z = k\sum_{\lambda} S_\lambda R_\lambda \bar{z}_\lambda \Delta\lambda$$

$$k = \frac{100}{\sum_{\lambda} S_\lambda \bar{y}_\lambda \Delta\lambda} \qquad (1)$$

The above equation is the basis of all colorimetric computations in basic and applied colorimetry. Practically, all mathematical representations of color in applied colorimetry originate from this equation. The

standard observer color matching functions (CMFs) represent average color matching functions of a given group of observers, measured through visual experiments. Two sets of standard observer CMFs are commonly used in conventional colorimetry, CIE 1931 standard observer functions for 2° visual field, and CIE 1964 standard observer functions for 10° visual field. It is assumed that the standard observer represents the average of the whole population of color normal observers. However, for various physiological reasons [2], color vision characteristics of any real observer can be significantly different from the standard observer functions, which can result in misrepresentation of the color perception of a real observer. The difference between the CMFs of a standard observer and those of a real observer gives rise to another important and fundamental issue, as described next.

2. OBSERVER VARIABILITY AND OBSERVER METAMERISM: When two color stimuli produce the same visual response, a visual match is obtained, where XYZ values corresponding to two stimuli are identical. Since XYZ values are computed by wavelength-wise integration, two stimuli with very different spectral power distribution can give rise to identical cone response for a given observer, leading to a "metameric" color match that is obtained by using a combination of a specific illuminant and a specific standard observer. Such a match established by one observer can, and quite often does, lead to a mismatch for a different observer, as the second observer has a different set of CMFs than the former. This phenomenon is commonly termed as observer metamerism.

The relevance of this issue is obviously quite dependent on the application context. When a display color is compared with its printed version on paper, the significance of observer variability is questionable [3]. However, the topic of observer metamerism has sparked renewed interest in the recent years with the proliferation of wide color-gamut displays. Whether based on LED (Light Emitting Diode) or employing laser primaries, all these displays compete with each other in achieving more vivid, more saturated and brighter colors. On the flipside, these displays are particularly susceptible to observer variability [4][5], since their narrow-band (i.e. peaky spectral characteristics) primaries cause noticeable shift in chromaticities of perceived colors with relatively minor change in the visual characteristics of the observer.

In a theoretical analysis by the present authors [6], it was found that the CIE 10° standard observer functions, as well as the average observer functions from a recent physiological model (proposed by CIE in 2006 [2]), do not accurately predict real observers'

CMFs averaged within various age-groups. Because of this prediction error, colors on a narrow-band, wide-gamut display and a broad-band (smooth spectral characteristics) CRT display (Cathode Ray Tube), which are supposed to be matches based on real observer data and actual display spectral characteristics, were predicted to have significant colorimetric differences. The experimental data used in the study came from the most comprehensive color matching experiments till date, performed by Stiles and Burch in 1959 [7], on which the CIE 10° standard observer is based. This analysis showed that when it comes to modern displays, the issue of observer variability can cause conventional colorimetry to fail. The extent of this failure will depend on the spectral characteristics of the display, the specific colors that are being reproduced on the display, as well as on the CMFs of the observer viewing the display. Similar failure of CIE colorimetry has been observed when narrow band RGB-LEDs were matched with broadband lights [8]. Now, the question is: when is this failure inconsequential, and when it is serious enough to necessitate a solution?

3. ENTERTAINMENT INDUSTRY APPLICATIONS WHERE OBSERVER VARIABILITY CAN BE A SERIOUS PROBLEM: Observer variability and metamerism can be a nontrivial issue in critical color matching tasks, for example in post-production applications. Suppose, for example, the color adjustment process (called color grading) of the raw movie content at the post-shooting stage. The Colorist has to work with the Director of Photography (DP) to adjust the colors in the original content so as to achieve color coherence and homogeneity throughout various scenes, while maintaining the artistic expressions originally envisioned by the Film Director and the DP. Further, the film may have to be converted to a version suitable for television or DVD (a process known as digital mastering). Processes like color grading and digital mastering are color critical, requiring high-fidelity color reproduction, often involving displays. Even though film studios have principally relied upon reference CRTs with broadband primaries, a rapid market adoption of wide-gamut, high-definition displays and projectors and gradual discontinuation of manufacturer support on CRTs may soon require the studios to employ these modern displays for post-production operations.

However, if the Colorist and the DP have different color vision characteristics, they will perceive colors differently, and the colors that look similar to one will look perceptibly different to the other. Conventional colorimetry will fail to account for this difference.

Very recently, studios have started offering remote color grading services, which means multiple devices

being used by various professionals at multiple locations for color grading, a trend that is sure to make the issue of observer variability even more pertinent in the media and entertainment industry.

Thus, it is of interest to study the effect of observer variability in color matching across conventional and modern displays, and to acquire experimental data in such a context, which can be subsequently used to better model the observer variability, and to find solutions to the associated problems.

Figure 1. Experimental setup

4. A COLOR MATCHING EXPERIMENT:

A preliminary set of color matching experiments [9] were performed on a modern, wide-gamut LCD (Liquid Crystal Display) with narrow-band primaries, and a conventional studio CRT with broadband primaries. Because of the significant difference in the spectral characteristics of the CRT and the LCD, color matches were expected to vary significantly from one observer to the other.

The displays were placed perpendicular to each other, as shown in Fig 1. A front-surface reflection mirror was placed in front of the CRT, and a mask was placed between the observer and the displays such that the observer's visual field consisted simply of a

10° circular area divided vertically in two equal parts (called bipartite field). The right half of the bipartite field was the LCD screen, and the left half was the CRT screen, seen through the mirror. The observers were asked to adjust the color on the left half of the bipartite field (CRT) to match the color on the right half (LCD). After each match, the spectra of the colors on the two displays were measured. Each observer matched nine colors.

Fig 2 plots the perceptual color differences between the two displays after each of the ten observers obtained a color match. There are nine colors shown along the x-axis. The color differences along the y-axis are represented in the form of bars, grouped by the ten observers. The color differences are calculated using 10° standard observer and an advanced color difference formula denoted by ΔE^*_{00} (read as delta E 2000). In other words, if colorimetry worked perfectly in spite of observer variability, all these color differences would have been zero. In a carefully controlled experimental setup where uniform color stimuli are matched, more than 1 unit of ΔE^*_{00} color difference can be visually perceived by majority of experienced observers. In our experiments, the mean and maximum values of the standard observer-predicted color difference of individual observer color matches were 1.4 and 3.3 ΔE^*_{00} respectively. Thus, on an average, colorimetry predicted that the colors

on two displays were perceptibly different, while they were acceptable color matches for individual observers. In the same way, the results showed that colors on the two displays that were predicted by colorimetry as a match were in fact significantly different from real observer matches.

Individual variability is ignored when using a single standard observer to represent a whole population of real observers. In color-critical applications involving displays with narrow-band primaries, this can result in significant error for many expert observers.

Figure 2. ΔE^*_{00} Color difference between CRT and LCD observer matches as predicted by 10° Standard Observer

5. CONCLUSION:

In the context of modern display colorimetry, when colors are compared on two displays with very different spectral power distributions, relying on the standard observer based colorimetry can possibly lead to unacceptable individual color matches for many color expert observers. Next generation colorimetry needs to make provision for observer variability in highly color-critical applications, specifically those involving modern displays.

REFERENCES:

[1] Berns R.S. (2000), "Billmeyer and Saltzman's principles of color technology", (Willy, New York, USA).

[2] CIE (2006), "Fundamental Chromaticity Diagram with Physiological Axes – Part I", *CIE Technical Report*, 170-1:2006.

[3] Oicherman B., Luo M. R., Rigg B., and Robertson A. R., (2008) "Effect of observer metamerism on colour matching of display and surface colours", Color Research and Application, 33 (5), pp. 346-359.

[4] Fairchild M. D. and Wyble D. R. (2007), "Mean Observer Metamerism and the Selection of Display Primaries", Final Program and Proceedings-IS&T/SID Color Imaging Conference, pg. 151-156, Albuquerque, NM, USA.

[5] Ramanath R. (2009), "Minimizing Observer Metamerism in Display System", Color Res. & Appl., 34(5): pg. 391-398.

[6] Sarkar A. et al. (2009), "Study of Observer Variability on Modern Display Colorimetry: Comparison of CIE 2006 Model and 10° Standard Observer", Final Program and Proceedings, The 11th Congress of the International Colour Association (AIC) 2009, Sydney, Australia.

[7] Stiles W. S. and Burch J.M. (1959), "N.P.L. colour-matching investigation: final report", *Optica Acta*, Vol. 6, pp. 1-26.

[8] Csuti P, Schanda J. Colour matching experiments with RGB-LEDs. Color Res App 2008; 33(2): 108-112

[9] Sarkar A. et al (2010), "A color matching experiment using two displays: design considerations and pilot test results", Proceedings of CGIV 2010, Joensuu, Finland.